# **ECF TRANSCRIPTION SHEET**



# ANDREW J. PECK UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Southern District of New York United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 1370 New York, N.Y. 10007-1312

Fax No.:

(212) 805-7933

Telephone No.: (212) 805-0036

Dated: March 16, 2015

**Total Number of Pages: 3** 

## **MEMO ENDORSED:**

Bring multiple copies of Letter Applications to tomorrow's conference.

Copies by ECF to: All Counsel

Judge Berman

# Case 1:14-cv-03042-RMB-AJP Document 218 Filed 03/16/15 Page 2 of 3

Case 1:14-cv-03042-RMB-AJP Document 212 Filed 03/11/15 Page 1 of 2

### CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

ONE LIBERTY PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10006-1470 (212) 225-2000 FACSIMILE (212) 225-3999 WWW.CLEARYGOTTLIEB.COM

WASHINGTON, DC . PARIS . BRUSSELS . LONDON . MOSCOW FRANKFURT . COLOGNE . ROME . MILAN . HONG KONG BEILING . BUENOS AIRES . SÃO PAULO . ABU DHABI . SEOUL

> Writer's Direct Dial: +1 (212) E-Mail: lliman@cgsh.d

MICHAEL A. GERSTENZANG LEWIS J. LIMAN LEV L. DASSIN NEL C. WERRISKEY JORGE U. JUANTORENA MICHAEL D. WEINBERGER DAVID LEINWAND ID LEINWAND
FREY A. ROSENTHAL
IAN A. KLINGSBERG
HAEL J. VOLKOVITSON
HAEL D. DAYAN
IMINE D. BOCCUZZI, JR.
FREY D. KARPF
BERLY BROWN BLACKU

ELIZABETH LENAS LUKE A. BAREFOOT PAMELA L. MARCOGLIESE PAUL M. TIGER JONATHAN S. KOLOONER REGIDENT PARTNERS

8 DOUGLAS BURISMI JUDITH KASSE. DAVID E WEBB PENELOPE L CHRISTOPHOROU BOAZ & MORAG MARY E ALCOCK MARY E ALCOCK MARY E ALCOCK MARY E ALCOCK MARY MORAGE H. BURDHERITZ WISSEL ORDNOW JR

Hon. Andrew J. Peck, U.S.M., Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Courtroom 20D

ORDERED

Hon. Andrew

CHAMBERS OF

ANDREW J. PE

Re: Rio Tinto plc v. Vale S.A., et al., Civil Action No. 14-cv-3042 (RMB)

Dear Judge Peck:

New York, New York 10007

**WA ECF** 

LECTRONICALLY

**JSDC SDNY** DOCUMENT

> Defendant Vale S.A. ("Vale") respectfully submits this letter to request leave from the Court to file several Applications for International Letters of Request seeking discovery pursuant to the Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad (the "Hague Convention") under seal pursuant to this Court's protective order (Dkt. No. 81).

> Vale is seeking international discovery from the foreign investigative firms Plaintiff Rio Tinto has identified as having assisted it in its purported "lengthy investigation . . . into the activities of Vale and BSGR at Simandou" (Am. Compl. ¶ 146) that serves as part of the basis of its allegation of equitable tolling of the statute of limitations of its RICO claim. Rio Tinto has produced reports from the foreign firms it retained to conduct competitive intelligence, located in the United Kingdom, France and South Africa (without producing any reports from a U.S. firm). Rio Tinto has designated the reports it produced from these investigative firms as "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only."

Vale requested the Court's guidance on how to submit an Application for Letters of Request that referenced these so-designated materials at the last conference. Following the Court's order (Feb. 27, 2015 Hr'g Tr. 38:7-39:4), on March 4, 2014. Vale sent Rio Tinto a draft rider of its proposed Requests to be included in its Application. Vale proposed to withdraw at this time its request to remove the "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" designation from the investigative reports, as long as Rio Tinto would not object to the filing of the

### Case 1:14-cv-03042-RMB-AJP Document 218 Filed 03/16/15 Page 3 of 3

Case 1:14-cv-03042-RMB-AJP Document 212 Filed 03/11/15 Page 2 of 2

Hon. Andrew J. Peck, p. 2

Application with these references unsealed on the basis that such filing would be in breach of the Protective Order.

Defendant Vale requested that Rio Tinto provide it with its position on these Requests by March 6, 2015. On that day, Rio Tinto advised that it had not yet reviewed the Requests in detail and requested additional time to do so. Concerned about possible delays the process for such Applications might take, Vale informed Rio Tinto and this Court that it intended to submit its Application under seal by Wednesday, March 11, 2015, unless the parties reached an agreement on this issue (see Dkt. No. 208 at 3).

On March 11, 2015, Vale and Rio Tinto conferred regarding Vale's proposed Requests. Rio Tinto indicated that it objects to the de-designation of references to the investigative reports and Vale's Applications being filed unsealed.

In that connection, Vale notes the following:

- 1. References to the specific statements in the Requests on which evidence is being sought from the investigative firms in question is potentially critical to satisfying the specificity requirements the foreign courts impose on Letters of Request, and therefore to the enforceability of the requests.
- 2. There is in fact nothing confidential about the short references to these specific statements in the reports that are included in the proposed Letters of Request. Similar assertions have already been made publicly both in the parties' pleadings and briefs in this action, and in the news media.
- 3. The Letters of Request would, to the best of our knowledge, not be public documents in the courts where their execution will be sought. The only persons with sight of them will be the parties to this action, Your Honor, the executing foreign courts, and the investigative firm in question itself, which is already aware of the contents of its own report.

For the foregoing reasons, there should be no obstacle in publicly filing these Applications. However, to avoid delay and needless motion practice on this issue, Vale requests leave to file the Applications under seal at this time. Rio Tinto does not oppose Vale filing these Applications under seal, but advised us that it might oppose the Applications themselves (*i.e.* the issuance of the Letters of Request to the investigation firms).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lewis J. Liman Lewis J. Liman

Cc: All counsel of record